

No. 08A524

In The

Supreme Court of the United States

Gail Lightfoot, Neil B. Turner, Kathleen Flanagan, James M. Oberschain, Camden W.
McConnell, Pamela Barnett, Evelyn Bradley

v.

Debra Bowen, Secretary of The State Of California

APPLICATION FOR EMERGENCY STAY AND/OR INJUNCTION AS TO THE 2008 ELECTORAL COLLEGE MEETING AND ALTERNATIVELY AS TO CALIFORNIA ELECTORS

Orly Taitz, D.D.S.

Attorney for the Petitioners

26302 La Paz

Mission Viejo CA 92691

949-683-5411

TO: THE HONORABLE JOHN J. ROBERTS, CHIEF JUSTICE

Motion to Reconsider

Petitioners, by and through their undersigned counsel of record, submit this motion for reconsideration due to the following reasons:

1. The Clerk of this Court, Danny Bickel, of his own volition and on his own authority refused to file of record, docket, and forward to the Chief Justice and Associate Justices Petitioners' Supplemental Brief presented on January 15, 2009.

2. The Rules of this Court provide that supplemental briefs are allowed, when there is a new law or changed circumstance in the case.
3. On January 8 2009, Barack Obama was confirmed as President by the Congress, at which time it was timely to file a supplemental brief, requesting this Court to determine that Mr. Obama is not eligible to serve, according to the terms of the Constitution.
4. According to the 20th amendment Mr. Biden must be appointed president pro tempore, until the president qualifies or new president is chosen.
5. This supplemental motion has made the underlining petition proper and timely according to the changed circumstances of the electoral vote and subsequent confirmation of Congress.
6. The Clerk of this Court, Mr. Bickel categorically refused to file this brief in the docket, stating that he would send it back to the undersigned counsel awith explanation. Nothing was sent back and no explanation provided.
7. Due to the fact that all mention of this case was erased from the docket of the Supreme Court on January 21, 2009, one day after the inauguration and two days before this Court was to meet regarding this matter, this sua sponte action by Mr. Bickel prejudiced the cause of the petitioners.
8. Only after numerous phone calls from outraged citizens, members of the media and state representatives, was the case reentered on the docket in the evening of January the 22nd, shortly before the meeting of the Justices held on the morning of January 23rd.
9. No explanation was provided by the Supreme Court for this occurrence.
10. When an attorney, licensed with the Supreme Court, Ms. Teresa Ward, called the court to inquire about the location online of the docket, a deputy clerk put her on hold for several minutes, then claimed that all dockets were unavailable due to a computer error that affected all cases. However Ms. Ward could clearly see other case dockets, going back years, including closed cases which had not been erased, This

was done by performing a name search using 'Lightfoot,' as the search term.

11. Similarly, after the case was reentered on the docket, Mr. Bickel claimed, that the case never disappeared. However, a number of citizens have written affidavits and screenshots were made of the case disappearing from the docket and reappearing at a later date.
12. Further, a few days before hearing this petition in the conference of the full Supreme Court, eight out of nine Supreme Court Justices had a private closed door meeting with Mr. Obama, who was a party to this action and the subject of this petition, wherein there may have been ex parte communication that prejudiced the petitioners.
13. Further, on March 9, 2009 at a book signing ceremony in Los Angeles, California the undersigned counsel had an opportunity to talk to Associate Justice Scalia and had an opportunity to ask about this case and inquired , as to why the case was not forwarded from the conference to the oral argument. Justice Scalia had no knowledge about my case. Similarly he had no knowledge about any cases brought in front of the Supreme Court, that challenged Obama's eligibility for presidency. The only reasonable explanation is that the clerks of the court did not provide the case to the Justices at all or summarized them in a light, that is unfavorable to the petitioners, which is prejudicial to the plaintiffs.
14. At a reception held in Los Angeles, California, on March 9th, Justice Scalia has told the audience of some 300 attorneys, members of the media, business and entertainment industries, that the cases are heard in the Supreme Court based on importance. He reiterated that it is not the beauty of the argument and legal reasoning, but importance of the case.
15. The instant case, dealing with a fundamental Constitutional question, affecting each and every citizen of these United States, in alleging a complete lack of legitimacy in the presidency of Barack Obama is a question of great public importance.
16. It was supposedly heard by the full conference of nine justices on January 23rd and yet, the Justices did not think this issue to be

important enough to forward to the next step, to the open court for argument on the merits of the case.

17. At the same time it was announced on the radio that the Supreme Court has heard a case dealing with the rights of smokers of light cigarettes to sue tobacco industry; and two Justices, including Chief Justice Roberts, have written lengthy opinions on this issue.
18. The undersigned reiterates that at issue is the probable illegal usurpation of our highest elected office by a foreign national, a citizen of Indonesia and possibly still a citizen of Kenya and Great Britain, Barry Soetoro, a/k/a Barack Hussein Obama.
19. Were these allegations the case, and taken true as pled for the purposes of this Motion, any party illegally usurping the position of the President of the United States and Commander in Chief of all the US Armed forces and assuming control of our nuclear arsenal would be in a position to devastate not only the United States but the world.
20. The undersigned reasonably believes that based upon the above, and in light of the statistics that the court's clerks sort through some 80,000 cases that are submitted to the Supreme Court each year and pick 0.1% - roughly 80 - which are considered the most deserving cases, the clerks that are preparing the summaries for the justices, have never shown the instant case to the Justices or have summarized it in a false light.
21. Further, attached hereto and incorporated by reference is a letter from the magazine World Net Daily, showing that 326,841 American citizens have signed the a petition requesting this Court to hear this matter.
22. Aside from the petition, World Net Daily has delivered to the Supreme Court 540,000 individual form petitions: 60,000 for each Justice.
23. In addition, it is estimated that some 100,000 Americans have faxed or mailed petitions drafted by themselves, not form petitions to this Court, in essence, again, requesting that this Court hear the merits of this cause.

24. In essence, each Justice has heard, or should have heard from roughly a half million American citizens, urging this Court to hear this case on the merits.
25. The undersigned questioned Justice Scalia during the above mentioned book signing in Los Angeles, as to what happened, and asked why the case was not forwarded to the open court hearing on the merits, he had absolutely no clue about the case. And not only this case, Justice Scalia had no recognition of similar cases filed by well known plaintiffs on the same topic by Wrotnowski and Donofrio.
26. It is astounding to that the Justice would get letters from half a million American citizens, urging him to hear the case on the merits, and wouldn't remember one thing about the case.
27. Due to the fact that there is evidence of sabotage within the Supreme Court, and there is no guarantee this petition will be forwarded to the Justices through regular channels; this petition will be hand delivered to Chief Justice Roberts at his appearance with students at the University of Moscow, Idaho, on Friday, March 13th, 4:00 p.m.
28. In case something happens to the undersigned, and hand delivery is prevented, it is being posted on the blog DefendOurFreedoms.us; it is being mailed to each and every Justice by certified mail with restricted signature delivery, to be personally signed by the Justices; and it is being forwarded as a press release to Congress, Senate, State Houses of Representatives, State Senates, Governors of all 50 States, FBI, Secret Service, Department of Justice, Department of Defense, Homeland security, Attorney Generals of all 50 states and 26,000 outlets of US and World media in order to bring awareness of the above to the world community.
29. At the same meeting at the University of Idaho the undersigned is forwarding to Chief Justice Roberts a Petition for Quo Warranto and a Petition for Leave of Court to File as Original Jurisdiction a second case *Easterling et al. v. Obama and State of Hawaii*, wherein it is alleged that due to the fact that the Attorney General of the United States, Eric Holder, did not agree to institute Quo Warranto proceedings against Mr. Obama, the petitioners led by active duty officer, currently serving in Iraq, Scott Easterling, Major General Carroll D. Childers, officers from all branches of the military, State

Representatives and an elector are seeking the leave of court to file quo warranto as ex relators on behalf of the United States government.

Attachments

1. APPLICATION FOR EMERGENCY STAY AND/OR INJUNCTION AS TO THE 2008 ELECTORAL COLLEGE MEETING AND ALTERNATIVELY AS TO CALIFORNIA ELECTORS WITH REQUEST THAT APPLICATION BE TREATED AS PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI AND/OR MANDAMUS AND/OR PROHIBITION. Filed December 11th, 2008.
2. SUGGESTION FOR RECUSAL OF HONORABLE CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS AND HONORABLE ASSOCIATE JUSTICES FROM SWEARING OF BARAK HUSSEIN OBAMA AS THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES ON JANUARY 20TH DUE TO DUE TO CONFLICT OF INTEREST WITH THE FULL COURT CONFERENCE HEARING ON THE 23RD OF JANUARY OF LIGHTFOOT V BOWEN, SEEKING TO FIND BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA NOT ELIGIBLE FOR PRESIDENCY. Filed January 12th 2009.
3. SUPPLEMENT, MOTION TO DECLARE THE PRESIDENT ELECT RESPONDENT BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA HAS FAILED TO QUALIFY BY DEFAULT UNDER US CONSTITUTION ARTICLE 2, §1, AND AMENDMENT 20, PER RULE 21 (2)(B) AND (4). Duly filed January 15, 2009, not docket and not distributed to the Justices due to the refusal by clerk Bickel.
4. SUPPLEMENT TO APPLICATION FOR EMERGENCY STAY AND/OR INJUNCTION AS TO THE 2008 ELECTORAL COLLEGE MEETING AND ALTERNATIVELY AS TO CALIFORNIA ELECTORS. Supplement is based on the Executive Order by President Bush, issued January 16, 2009. EXECUTIVE ORDER; GRANTING RECIPROCITY ON EXCEPTED SERVICES AND FEDERAL CONTRACTOR EMPLOYEES FITNESS AND REINVESTIGATING INDIVIDUALS IN POSITIONS OF PUBLIC TRUST. Filed January 21, 2009.

5. Petition letter from World Net Daily to the Supreme Court signed by 326,841 American citizens.

“I hereby certify that the foregoing statements made by me are true. I am aware that if any of the foregoing statements made by me are willfully false, I am subject to punishment.”

March 12, 2009

Orly Taitz, D.D.S.
Attorney for Petitioner